## Publication: The Straits Times, pg A33 Date: 13 July 2019 Headline: Falling for falsehoods - a diet for prevention

## **Science**Talk Falling for falsehoods – a diet for prevention

## Consuming information in a discerning manner can help us resist dubious claims

## Ong Wei Teck and Andree Hartanto For The Straits Times

The reach of social media was once lauded for facilitating prominent so-cial movements such as the Arab Spring uprisings against oppres-sion, that spread across North Africa and the Middle East in late 2010. Now, it has been identified as a key medium for spreading lies. Seven in 10 of 28,122 social media and messaging app users from 11 emerging economies surveyed last yearby the Pew Research Centre re-borted being regularly exposed to blatamb false information online. Once people believe something, thereand to persist in this bedy, thereand the explained by how our mind functions. Psychological re-search indicates that our mind often prefers having a complete (though somewhat incoherent) narrative over having no viable explanation when it is understanding how un-tolding events have occurred. So mere denials and retractions are no ineffective debunking strat-gry because valid explanations are not provided to address the mental 'gap' left balse information. A 'need for closure' thus increases uur likelihood of believing in false claust that are seemingly plausible. We Singaporeans overestimate our ability to detect falsehoods. A study of 750 Singapore citizens and permanent residents con-ducted by market research firm lp-sos in 2017 revealed that eight out of 10 respondents here were confi-dent of sitting fact from fiction, al-hough 50 pre ent of fhem actually believed at least one of five false Date residifierees mode inversion believed at least one of the harse newsheadlines presented to them. One real-life example involved Green Delights, a halal-certified yong tau foo stall. A picture insinuat-ing that Green Delights had adver-

tised a pork belly dish went viral on WhatsApp and social networking sites last year, even though the ad-vertisement was actually displayed by a neighbouring stall. In response, several netizens swiftly organised a boycott of the food stall. In response, several netizens swiftly organised a boycott of the food stall. Although a probe by the Islamic Religious Council of Singapore (Muis) found that Green Pelights fol-lowed proper food-handling proce-dures, its alse continued to suffer. Why do people believe dubious claims? In short, we believe what we want to believe. Utilising our reasoning abilities, we interpret contrary evidence to favour ideologies or the consensus of groups we closely identify with, and unquestioningly accept claims cohering with our world vice. For instance, the anti-vaccina-tion movement persists in the face of well-established evidence docu-menting the safety of vaccines be

tion movemen persists in the face of well-established evidence dou-menting the safety of vaccines be-cause of mistrust fuelled by politi-cal, cultural and religious divides. However, this does not explain the significant amount of false infor-mation circulating on social media which alreleves pro-count of the start and the start of the pro-mation circulating on social media which alreleves pro-count of the start and non-provocative satirical news headlines to measure singaporena's ability to detect false-hoods, which non-theless remained low. For example, only 33 per cent of respondents correctly identified the headline "Increasing food costs will deter eating, help Singaporeans that our biases are not the only rea-son why we fall for falsehoods. Another line of psychological re-search suggests that our belief in false information can be attributed to the role our reasoning processes play in evaluating information. When completing routine and in-tuitive tasks, we often rely on heuristics – mental shortcuts, or

"rules of thumb" – that allow us to complete those tasks without ex-pending too much mental effort. One such routine task is browsing social media. Research at Columbia

social media. Research at Columbia University found that most links shared and commented on, on so-cial media, are never clicked. This implies that article high-lights and previews, along with their associated comments, are of-ten read while their body text is ig-nored.

ten read while their root was a source of the transformation often pos-sesses unvertified, exaggreated at that prevention of the pos-sesses unvertified, exaggreated at the source of the transformation. Critical thinking makes us less susceptible to false information. In a series of studies, psycholo-gists at the Massachusetts Industry of Regina in Canada first as-sessed whether participants en-gists at the Massachusetts Industry of Technology in the US and the Uni-

**False information often** possesses unverified, exaggerated and controversial claims that prey on our frequent use of heuristics and the instinctively lazy brain's preference for quick,

easy conclusions. Critical thinking makes us less susceptible to

They found that individuals who

They found that individuals who usually engaged in critical thinking instead of relying on intuition were better able to discern between fake and real news headlines of varying political content, regardless of their personal political views. The research findings strongly suggest that critical thinking can complement computer-assisted and legal approaches directed at re-ducing individual exposure to false information Individually safeguard-ing against misinformation can be compared to watching your diet. ing against misinformation can be compared to watching your diet. Just as how following a healthy diet can prevent chronic diseases, consuming information in a dis-cerning manner can help us resist false information.

WHAT SHOULD YOU DO? Inspect the "ingredients" of an article. Nutrition information and ingredient lists on product labels help us gauge food s healthiness. Similarly, we can assess the article's tone and source to determine its reliability. Credible articles usually cite primary sources such adocuments, memos and speeches. Consume an adequate number of articles from various sources.

Consume an adequate number of articles from various sources. Popular stories and breaking news will be carried by reliable news sources that are corroborated by one another. Be sceptical of details that are not common across articles from reliable sources. • Have a balanced diet of information. Writers and readers both have biases that partially determine the stories they follow and the information thy seek. It's possible to solect stories that reinforce one's existing world view. Try toget information from multiple sources that have different opinions to obtain a holistic view of issues. • Just kie junk food is not good for you, online popularity does not determine validity. Although a story might be shared widely online, it might not be true. Umreliable news articles demonstrably receive higher lewels of interaction than reliabe news articles of facebook, possibly by appealing to emotions instead of logic.

Above all, exercising critical think-ing boils down to not relying on in-tuition alone when sifting through information. Following a diet has always been challenging because old habits die hard. But a conscientious effort to think critically can gradually be-come second nature and help us re-sist the pervasiveness of false-hoods.

 Ong Wei Teck is a third-year Ong Wei reck is a third-year student majoring in politics, law and economics, and Andree Hartanto is a lecturer of psychology. Both are from the School of Social Sciences at Singapore Management University.

Source: The Straits Times @ Singapore Press Holdings Limited. Permission required for reproduction



Ms May Liu, owner of halal-certified yong tau foo stall Green Delights at Westgate mall. False online claims last year led to a fall in sales, although a probe by Muis found the stall followed proper food-handling procedures. ST PHOTO: LIM YAUHUI