Publication: The Business Times, pg 29

Date: 30 May 2019

Headline: Much ado about audit opinions

## **COMMENTARY**

## Much ado about audit opinions

that if management and directors produce high quality financial statements, the number of er-rors that need audit adjustments would be min-

enough to render the entire financial statement meaningless. In other words, there may be disagreement with specific transactions or treatments, but the rest of the financial statements are nevertheless prepared accordingly. This is often referred to as an 'except for' opinion. A case in point – the auditors of Annica announced that it was unable to ascertain if a recivable arising from the disposal of a business unit could be recovered or collected. Except for this matter, the auditors opined that the rest of the financial statements were presented appropriately.

The wear need proof that audit opinion mathers, look no further than what happened to list Hi Healthcare Bhd.

KPMG issued in early April a 'qualified opinion' on the biggest publicly listed hospital operator in Asia. Investors were clearly spooked, and the stock fell 5 per cent in its largest single day move, down 8.4 per cent over the course of five days.

There are many other examples of stock price plunges and even listing suspensions for companies that fail to get a clean audit opinion. This brings us to a key question: What exactly is an audit opinion, and what purpose does it serve for companies and mivestors?

An audit opinion is the summary opinion arrived at the end of an extensive audit process. For most companies, the most common outcome is an 'unmodified opinion', often referred to as an 'unqualiffed' or colloquially, a 'clean audit opinion. It means that the auditors have found that the financial statements were prepared, in all material resports, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.

The problem is that investors tend to associate audit opinion is not clean.

Auditors perform their duties as required under the Singapore Management, and the supporprate level of discovered that the companies of the companies that receive clean opinions. Auditors Schale, Specifically, in forming their opinion, auditors assess the appropriate level of discovered that the experiment of the companies of the financial statements were prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.

The problem is that investors tend to associate the financial statements were prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.

A provided that the financial statements were prepared, in all material resporting framework.

The problem is a displayed to the finan

"No opinion" conclusions have been issued in the last few months for logistics provider Vibrant Group, offshore vessel builder Nam Cheong, coal mining company Resources Prima, Asiatravel.com and furniture maker Lorenzo. In these cases, the auditors did not obtain enough audit evidence that the entities can

## EXPRESSING OPINION

EXPRESSING OPINION
Since the end of 2016, the enhanced audit report requirements have improved the communication process of how auditors arrive at their eventual audit opinion. Auditors are now required to express the basis of their opinion, including discussions on key audit matters (KAMs) and how they have been addressed in the conduct of the audit.

A recent PwC report examined 392 auditor's reports and found that on average, auditors noted 2.2 KAMs. The most common KAMs in Singapore audits include valuation of receivables, valuation of property, plant and equipment, impairment of goodwill and intangible assets, and revenue recognition. SGX RegCo said earlier this year that it expects KAMs to include matters that it has queried companies on during the year.

With better understanding of the various types of modified opinion, investors can react types of modified opinion, investors can react

With better understanding of the various types of modified opinion, investors can react appropriately to the news of companies failing to get a clean opinion. More importantly, they should ask tougher questions about KAMs at an-nual general meetings even when clean opin-ions are issued.

Source: The Business Times @ Singapore Press Holdings Limited. Permission required for reproduction

With better understanding of the various types of modified opinion, investors can react appropriately to the ews of companies failing to get a clean opinion. More importantly, they should ask tougher

questions about key audit matters at annual general meetings even when clean opinions are issued.